

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,177		10/09/2003	Andre Grandmottet	0539-1008	7861
466	7590	12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
YOUNG &	THOME	SON	KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A		
745 SOUTH	I 23RD ST	REET			· · · - · · ·
2ND FLOO	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22202		3754	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	inch
	10/681,177	GRANDMOTTET,	ANDRE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Second</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Executive Executive Condition 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	is/are withdrawn from considera	ıtion.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transformation is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/681,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ponton.

Ponton shows a containing means 10; filling opening 22; dispensing opening 29; dispensing means 30 being a pump, the pump having a body, collar seen in Figure 3A, tube 33, dispensing head 34, and hole in nozzle 9; control means 7; casing means 2; product dispensing aperture 8; container mounting opening in the bottom of the casing that allows for the insertion of the reservoir; closure means 24; reservoir 12; faces 15, 11; rectilinear fixing means on both the casing and reservoir seen in Figure 3A and discussed as a friction fit in column 7, lines 51-58; and closing means 25. Blow molding in a single piece is a product-by-process limitation, and as there appears to be no functional difference from the Ponton reservoir other than aesthetics, the claimed

limitation is met. However, it would have been obvious to blow mold the reservoir in a single piece as it would make the reservoir stronger and would be easy to manufacture.

4. Claims 13, 14 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ponton.

Ponton has been discussed above, but lacks the pivoting wall having a hinge and the shape of the container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the closure means 24 as a pivoting wall as it would allow for expedient and easy refilling of the device and is an obvious way not to lose or misplace the closure while filling as the closure would remain attached to the device. Further, the faces and parallelepiped shape would have been obvious in order to provide for easier packaging and stacking of the product.

Response to Argument

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that Ponton does not show a blow molded, single-piece reservoir. This has been addressed in the above new rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3754

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jøseph A.∬Kaufman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

12/12/05

iak

December 12, 2005